

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.ispio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/044,691	01/10/2002	David D. Crouch	PD-01W080 9703		
75	90 03/31/2003				
William J. Benman, Esq.			EXAMINER		
2049 Century Park East, Ste. 2740 Los Angeles, CA 90067			WONG, ERIC K		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2874			
		DATE MAILED: 03/31/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No		Applicant(s)	NV			
Office Action Summary		10/044,691		CROUCH ET AL.	l			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Eric Wong		2874				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		er sheet with the c	- 1	ess			
A SHI THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how within the statutory mi vill apply and will expire cause the application	vever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONF	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm 0 (35 U.S.C. & 133)	nunication.			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 J	lanuary 2002 .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-f	inal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,10,15 and 16</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>8-9</u> is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	election require	ment.					
9) 🔲 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10)[] 7	Fhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	ted or b) object	ed to by the Exan	niner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	•					
11)[] T	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approve	ed b) disappro	ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional ap	plication).			
•	☐ The translation of the foreign language provices the control of the foreign language provices the control of the foreign language provices the control of	• •						
Attachment								
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-15				
.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		ion Summary		Part of Pa	per No. 2			

Application/Control Number: 10/044,691

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear as claimed, what type of transmitted wave is cancelled. Examiner suggests amending claim to point out transmitted wave being cancelled is a millimeter-wave beam.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by United States Patent Number 5,776,612 to Fisher.

As to claim 1, Fisher discloses in figures 2-6:

Application/Control Number: 10/044,691

Art Unit: 2874

• An apparatus for reflecting an incident millimeter-wave beam comprising:

- o A first layer of dielectric material adapted to receive and partially transmit said incident millimeter-wave beam and
- One or more layers of dielectric materials disposed in alignment with said first layer, each additional layer being such that transmitted waves substantially cancel in the forward direction.

As to claim 2-5, the layers are optically transparent and made of dielectric materials including sapphire and air.

As to claim 10, the apparatus includes a sealed housing (Column 7, Lines 44-47).

As to claim 16, it would be inherent that device as rejected in claim 1, would require the method claimed to produce such an apparatus.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fisher as applied to claim 1 above.

Fisher discloses an apparatus for reflecting millimeter wave beams, but fails to explicitly disclose seven sapphire layers and six layers of air between said sapphire layers, nor a thickness of said layers.

Application/Control Number: 10/044,691

Art Unit: 2874

One skilled in the art would have been able to add or remove the number of layers and

Page 4

adjust the thickness of said layers in order to adapt the apparatus to reflect at different variable

parameters.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify Fisher to include more layers and a certain thickness to

perform in a variety of incident millimeter wave beam intensities.

Claim Objections

6. Claims 8-9 and 11-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims. The prior art made of record fails to explicitly disclose or

reasonably suggest vented spacers in a sealed housing filled with gas with input and exhaust

ports. Claim 15 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35

U.S.C. 112 set forth above.

Conclusion

7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the

claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c)

and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2874

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

a. United States Patent Number 6,522,226 to Crouch et al. for a transparent metallic millimeter wave window.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Wong whose telephone number is 703-305-4741. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 830AM - 430PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on 703-308-4819. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-0725 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

EW March 19, 2003

Rodney Bovernick
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800